









































Kendall' s tau wit	h censo	red data	
Computing tau:			
With data ordered by increasin	g x, does y cons	sistently increase (+) or decrease (-	-)?
For <u>some</u> example data: X	Y	<u>result</u>	
1980	20		
1981	<10	0 0 0	
1982	7		
1983	3	_	
1984	< 3		
© 2019 PracticalStats.com	22		22





Regression with censored data	
Regression by Maximum Likelihood Estimation – a Parametric method: the cencorreg command. Only the Y variable can be censored.	
> Pbreg <- cencorreg(Blood, BloodCen, Kidney)	
Likelihood R = 0.8236	
Rescaled Likelihood R = 0.8721	
McFaddens R = 0.714	
> summary(Pbreg)	
Call:	
survreg(formula = "log(Blood)", data = "Kidney", dist = "gaussian")	
Value Std. Error z p	
(Intercept) -4.4573 0.1733 -25.72 < 2e-16 Loglik(model)= -14.7 Loglik(intercept only)= -30	
Kidney 0.2436 0.0302 8.07 7.1e-16 Chisq= 30.62 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 3.1e-08	
Log(scale) -0.6737 0.2036 -3.31 0.00094	
In(blood Pb) = -4.457 + 0.244*kidney Pb or blood Pb = $e^{-4.457} \cdot kidneyPb^{0.244}$	
© 2019 PracticalStats.com	25

















N	/i i	ltir	าโค	Re	are	ossin	n with Censored Data	
<b>I</b> .	d the				ho Vuoria			
LUa			g.iua ua	ildsel. II		DIE IS ICE U	oncentration. There are 4 detection limits, indicated by the receen variable.	
> at		neg)						
> he	ECen TCE	(onc la	ndilse Poni	Density Pct	Todill Depth	PonAby1		
1	TRUE	1	9	9	10 103	1		
2	TRUE	1	8	3	4 142	1		
3	TRUE	1	8	3	4 209	1		
4	TRUE	1	5	1	3 140	1		
5	TRUE	1	5	2	1 218	1		
6	TRUE	1	9	13	5 98	1		
The anc Wh	ere are d Deptl nich coi	4 pos h to th mbina	sible ex le water tion of t	planator <sup>.</sup> table. these 4 e	y variable explanator	s: LandUse y variables	category (not very precise), Population Density, Percent Industrial Landuse, best predicts TCE Concentration?	
	1							
2019 Pra	cticalSta	ts.com						34









> reg3 <- cencorreg(TCEConc, TCECen, xv	var3)	
Likelihood R2 = 0.1057	AIC = 394.3252	
Rescaled Likelihood R2 = 0.1305	BIC = 410.8924	
McFaddens R2 = 0.06718		
<pre>&gt; summary(reg3) Value Std. Error z (Intercept) -5.44065 2.62890 -2.07 g LandUse 0.33855 0.31107 1.09 g PopDensity 0.22621 0.07797 2.90 g Depth -0.00367 0.00239 -1.54 g Log(scale) 1.02852 0.11059 9.30 g Scale= 2.8</pre>	p ).0385 ).2764 ).0037 ).1239 (2e-16	<ul> <li>Is better than the 4 variable model due to lower AIC. Adding the additional PctIndLU variable does not explain much variation, and costs one degree of freedom.</li> <li>LandUse has a relatively high p-value. What about a 2-variable model?</li> </ul>
Loglik(model)= -191.7 Loglik(intercep	ot only)= -205.5	
Chisa= 27.61 on 3 degrees of	freedom, p= 4.4e-06	

Two variable model	AIC = 393.6
<pre>&gt; reg2 &lt;- cencorreg(TCEConc, TCECen, xvar2) Likelihood R2 = 0.1012 AIC = 393.5758 Rescaled Likelihood R2 = 0.1249 BIC = 406.6296</pre>	
<pre>&gt; summary(reg2) Value Std. Error z p (Intercept) -2.79067 0.81018 -3.44 0.00057 PopDensity 0.25959 0.07405 3.51 0.00046 Depth         -0.00434         0.00234 -1.85 0.06367 Log(scale) 1.03487 0.11068 9.35 &lt; 2e-16 Scale= 2.81 Gaussian distribution Loglik(model)= -192.3 Loglik(intercept only)= -205.5 Chisq= 26.35 on 2 degrees of freedom, p= 1.9e-06</pre>	<ul> <li>This is better than the 3 variable model due to lower AIC</li> <li>Depth is now at p=0.06</li> <li>I generally keep variables with p &lt; 0.10, as model selection stats like AIC and BIC generally underfit (too few explanatory variables)</li> <li>Just as in ordinary regression, R<sup>2</sup> increases with each added variable, so is no help in choosing a model. Rescaled R<sup>2</sup> here is 0.125, while with the 3-variable model it was 0.130. This does NOT mean the 3-variable model is better.</li> <li>What about a 1-variable model, with just PopDensity?</li> </ul>
© 2019 PracticalStats.com	40

<pre>&gt; reg1 &lt;- cencorreg(TCEConc, TCECen, PopDensity) Likelihood R = 0.2934 AIC = 395.6935 Rescaled Likelihood R = 0.3259 BIC = 405.2338 McFaddens R = 0.2326 &gt; summary(reg1) survreg(formula = "log(TCEConc)", data = "PopDensity", dist = "gaussian")</pre>	<ul> <li>AIC is higher for the 1-varaible model. So AIC picks the 2-variable model. BIC is lowest for the 1-variable model and is known to underfit.</li> <li>AIC here is no better than the 4 variable model.</li> <li>Summary: I'd choose the 2-var model:</li> <li>AIC is better; the p-value for Depth is 0.06. But also should examine if a decrease of 0.04 ug/L per 10 feet of depth in the 2-variable model is scientifically meaningful or not. Seems reasonable to me.</li> </ul>
--	---







